51

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, CJ.
HON'BLE SHRI V.K. SHRIVASTAVA, J.

W. P. No.321 of 2002

PETITIONERS

1. Nanhi Ram, son of Gangaram Kanwar aged about 45 years.
2. Karamdas, son of Shanichardas Panika, aged about 30 years.
3. Jagdeo, son of Gangaram Kanwar, aged about 40 years.
4. Siyaram, son of Nanhiram, aged about 25 years.
5. Tetkuram, son of Lagansay, aged about 45 years.
6. Panikram, son of lagansay Kanwar, aged about 32 years.
7. Anupsingh son of Prushay Kanwar, aged about 28 years.
8. Premsay, son of jataram Kanwar, aged about 30 years.
9. Raviram, sonof Ransay Kanwar, aged about 28 years.
10. Dhansay, son of Sangram Kianwar, aged about 35 years.
11. Tejram son of Suritram Kanwar, aged about 23 years.
12. Mayaram son of Govardhan Kanwar, aged about 25 years.
13. Johitram sonof Ransay Kanwar, aged about 32 years.
14. Ramsingh, son of Amardas Kanwar, aged about 25 years.
15. Karamdas son of Shanichardas Panika, aged about 35 years.
16. Athnasiyush son of Johan Toppo, aged about 59 years.
17. Panchram son of Budhram Kanwar, aged about 35 years.
18. Chhabiram son of Ramsay Kanwar, aged about 22 years.
19. Jeetram son of Ramayan Kanwar, aged about 48 years.
20. Chakar Singh, son of unknown, adult.
21. Deepkumar, son of unknown, adult.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through: Chief Secretary DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya,

52

Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. The Secretary, Department of Home Government of Chhattisgarh DKS Bhawan, Mantralay Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Directorate General of Police Department of Home (Govt. of C.G.) Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Superintendent of Police, District Police Office, District Raigarh (C.G.)
5. The Station House Officer Police Station – Chhal Tahsil Dharamjaygarh, Distt. Raigarh.
6. The Station House Officer, Police Station – Dharamjaygarh Tahsil – Dharamjaygarh Distt. Raigarh.
7. Smt. Shanti Bai wife of Gulabsingh aged about 55 years.
8. Ijhar Singh, son of Gulab Singh aged about 35 years.

Sl. No. 7 & 8 are resident of village Samarsingha, Chhal, Distt. Raigarh.

Present : Shri A.N.Bhakta, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Vinay Harit, Deputy Advocate General for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 13th March, 2007)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by

H.L. Dattu, CJ.

I.A.No.955/2002.

By this application, the petitioners are seeking exemption from payment of security deposit. To maintain a petition by way of public interest litigation, the petitioners have to deposit the security amount under the rules. If they are not in a position to deposit the said amount, they can make an application before this Court with appropriate reasons for exemption from payment of the said amount.

(2) We have carefully seen the application filed by the petitioners. The reason assigned by the petitioners, in our opinion, is not satisfactory.

53

Therefore, the request made in the application cannot be granted by us. Accordingly, I.A.No.955/2002 is rejected.

(3) Consequently, the writ petition and I.As.No.727/2002 & 4720/2002 also stand rejected. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to make an appropriate application for revival of the writ petition after deposit of the security amount.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd//-
V.K.Shrivastava
Judge